


Flavonoid Mixture Analysis by Matrix-Assisted Diffusion-Ordered Spectroscopy

Julia Cassani,^{*,†,‡} Mathias Nilsson,[†] and Gareth A. Morris[†]

[†]School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

[‡]Departamento de Sistemas Biológicos, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Unidad Xochimilco, Calz. del Hueso No. 1100, Col. Villa Quietud, CP 04960, D. F., México

ABSTRACT: The structural similarity of flavonoids, often present in natural product mixtures, makes their analysis by NMR methods less than straightforward. This similarity is a dual problem for one of the most powerful NMR methods for mixture analysis, diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY), which relies both on well-resolved peaks and on differences in hydrodynamic radii for separating the signals from different components in a mixture. To overcome these limitations, we use a matrix-assisted DOSY approach that exploits differential chemical interactions with a slow diffusion matrix (here micellar sodium dodecyl sulfate) to resolve flavonoid mixtures in mixed solvents.



Phenolic compounds such as flavonoids have received considerable attention because of their potent antioxidant properties, mainly as scavengers of reactive oxygen species.¹ They are widely distributed in nature, are present in a large number of foods of plant origin, and have a wide range of recognized biological activities including hypoglycemic,² anticancer,³ antimalarial,⁴ anti-inflammatory, and antiviral.⁵

Flavonoids such as flavone, fisetin, catechin, and quercetin have considerable biological significance⁶ and are usually consumed in natural foods from plants;^{7,8} they can also be found in medicinal plants such as ginger varieties.⁹ Efficient analysis of these components is clearly important. They belong to a family of compounds that have in common a benzopyran (A and C rings) and a phenyl (B ring) group and differ mainly in the type and number of substituents.¹ Analyses of mixtures containing flavonoids commonly employ HPLC, but are time-consuming, complicated, and expensive.¹⁰ It is often necessary to couple HPLC with mass spectrometry and/or NMR for identification of the compounds separated.^{10,11}

NMR is one of the most powerful tools in the elucidation of structures, and diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY) has become an important tool used in the analysis of mixtures.^{12,13} However, the method struggles when diffusion coefficients are very similar and/or when spectra are highly overlapped. Recently, it has been shown that performing DOSY in a matrix with which the analytes interact differentially can resolve signals from similar compounds that would otherwise show the same diffusion. In such a matrix-assisted DOSY (MAD) experiment the interaction of the analytes with the matrix modulates the average diffusion coefficients, as different mixture components bind to the matrix to different extents; it also sometimes helps to resolve the spectral overlap by causing differential chemical shift changes.^{14,15} MAD methods have great potential for the analysis of complex mixtures, such as those

common in natural product chemistry;^{16,17} here we have employed sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) micelles to aid an analysis of flavonoids.

In a MAD experiment the analytes interact differentially with the micelles, depending *inter alia* on polarity, amphiphilicity, and structure, resulting in altered diffusion coefficients and allowing the separation of the individual component spectra.^{14,15} The solute diffusion coefficients D_{av} are a weighted average of the bound and free coefficients and are given by Lindman's law:

$$D_{av} = D_u p_u + D_b p_b$$

where D_u and p_u and D_b and p_b are the diffusion coefficients and the fractions of unbound and bound solute, respectively.¹⁸

SDS readily forms micelles in aqueous solution.¹⁵ There are numerous studies on pure and mixed micelle formation in aqueous solutions and also some reports of micelles in binary solvent systems.^{19–22} Above the critical micellar concentration (CMC), aqueous SDS forms micelles, and as concentration increases, these micelles first change shape and then form entangled polymer-like superstructures.²³ Many flavonoids, however, have low solubility in water, so it would be advantageous to perform MAD in other solvents. It has previously been shown that SDS can form micelles in DMSO,²⁴ a much better solvent for flavonoids. We therefore demonstrate here the first application of matrix-assisted DOSY using mixed water–DMSO solutions of SDS, enhancing the solubility of the analytes fisetin, flavone, catechin, and quercetin.

Received: June 20, 2011

Published: January 25, 2012

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DOSY spectra of the flavonoids in the mixture A (Figure 1, flavonoids 1, 2, 3) in aqueous solution showed that flavone




Figure 1. Structures of the flavonoids flavone (1), fisetin (2), (+)-catechin (3), and quercetin (4).

diffused at a higher rate, as expected from its smaller size, but that the hydrodynamic radii of fisetin and catechin were too similar for their signals to be separable, suggesting the need for a matrix-assisted experiment. However, the low solubility made acquisition times prohibitive; instead, the use of the binary system DMSO- d_6 -D₂O was explored.

The DOSY spectra of the flavonoid mixtures in DMSO- d_6 -D₂O mixtures (Figure 2a, b) show that the flavone signals can




Figure 2. Oneshot DOSY experiments for mixture A for two different percentages of DMSO- d_6 -D₂O: 20% (a) and 50% (b).

be separated from those of catechin and fisetin; this is unsurprising, as flavone is expected to have a smaller hydrodynamic radius. As the mole fraction of DMSO- d_6 increases (Figure 2b), the higher viscosity causes all compounds to diffuse more slowly. Adding SDS completely changes the situation, as shown in Figure 3a and b; now, due to its weaker




Figure 3. Oneshot DOSY experiments after the addition of SDS (100 mM) to mixture A with two different percentages of DMSO- d_6 -D₂O: 20% (c) and 50% (d).

interaction with the micelles, the signals of catechin are readily separated from those of flavone and fisetin. This allows the identification of each of the three components by comparing experiments with and without SDS. The source of the specificity in the interactions between flavonoids and SDS micelles is not obvious; it is possible that the role of the carbonyl group is important, perhaps causing catechin to have a different conformation and hence to interact only weakly with the micelles.

The presence of micelles in the binary solvent was confirmed for the two mixtures of solvent selected (DMSO- d_6 20% and 50%) by investigating the dependence of the SDS diffusion coefficient on concentration. Figure 4, which plots the measured




Figure 4. Plot of the measured diffusion coefficient, D , against the inverse of the total SDS concentration for 20% DMSO- d_6 . The CMC value was found as the intersection of the two slopes.

SDS diffusion coefficient measured against the inverse of the total SDS concentration in 20% v/v DMSO- d_6 , shows that micellization in this solvent is well-described by the associative model. The CMC was found to change from 7 mM for pure D₂O to 11 mM in 20% v/v DMSO- d_6 and 25 mM in 50% DMSO- d_6 , consistent with the literature.¹⁹




Figure 5. Oneshot DOSY spectra of flavonoids **2**, **3**, and **4** (mixture B), before and after addition of SDS (80 mM) in 50% v/v DMSO- d_6 -D₂O.

The power of this MAD approach, using SDS micelles in binary solvents, is further demonstrated on a second mixture, B (Figure 1, flavonoids **2**, **3**, **4**). Figure 5 shows the DOSY spectrum of this mixture in 50:50 v/v DMSO- d_6 -D₂O before and after the addition of SDS. Before adding SDS (Figure 5a), the three flavonoids were not resolved in the diffusion dimension; with 80 mM SDS, as shown in Figure 5b, flavonoids **2** and **4** interact differentially with the micelles and as a result show different diffusion coefficients. Although there is some overlap of signals between compounds **2** and **4**, they are sufficiently well resolved in the presence of SDS to allow adequate characterization.

In this investigation, we have demonstrated an efficient methodology for NMR analysis of flavonoids, combining binary solvent mixtures and SDS in a novel matrix-assisted DOSY experiment. Solvent systems of 20% and 50% v/v DMSO- d_6 -D₂O were used to increase the solubility of flavonoids and, by addition of the surfactant SDS, to exploit differential binding to separate the NMR signals of compounds with similar sizes. Further investigation of the nature of the interactions between flavonoids and SDS micelles is in progress. Understanding such interactions should in principle give structural information and would also make it easier to predict the best conditions (solvent composition, surfactant concentration) for signal resolution. This should simplify the analysis of more complex flavonoid mixtures, as well as increase the information obtainable by NMR.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

NMR experiments were carried out nonspinning on a Varian Inova 400 MHz spectrometer. A 5 mm indirect detection probe equipped with a gradient coil with a rated maximum gradient of 30 G cm⁻¹ was used with the sample temperature control regulated at 25 °C. The Oneshot pulse sequence²⁵ was used for DOSY experiments with a total diffusion-encoding pulse width (δ) of 3 ms, a diffusion delay (Δ) of 150 ms, and 20 nominal gradient amplitudes ranging from 5 to 27 G cm⁻¹ in equal steps of gradient squared.

All processing was done using a modified version of the manufacturers' VnmrJ software, and for all DOSY processing the data were corrected for the effects of nonuniform pulsed field gradients.²⁶

Flavone, fisetin, (+)-catechin, and quercetin, deuterated solvents, SDS, and sodium trimethylsilyl propionate- d_4 (TSP) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK) and were used without further purification. Two mixtures of flavonoids were prepared, flavone, fisetin, and catechin (mixture A) and fisetin, catechin, and quercetin (mixture B). Stock solutions of the flavones in DMSO- d_6 were diluted either with D₂O or with D₂O and a solution of SDS in D₂O, as appropriate, to yield a final concentration of 5 mM for each flavonoid. TSP was used

as an internal reference for reference deconvolution²⁷ in all the samples. Solutions for the experiments of Figure 4 were prepared by dilution of a stock solution of SDS with D₂O and DMSO- d_6 as appropriate.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: cassani@correo.xoc.uam.mx. Tel: (+52) 5554837255. Fax: (+52) 5554837237.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (grant numbers EP/H024336/1, EP/I007989/1, and EP/E05899X/1). J.C. thanks the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- (1) Pietta, P.-G. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2000**, *63*, 1035–1042.
- (2) Adeneye, A. A.; Adeleke, T. I.; Adeneye, A. K. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2008**, *116*, 7–10.
- (3) Middleton, E.; Kandaswami, C.; Theoharides, T. C. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2000**, *52*, 673–751.
- (4) Monbrison, F. d.; Maitrejean, M.; Latour, C.; Bugnazet, F.; Peyron, F.; Barron, D.; Picot, S. *Acta Trop.* **2006**, *97*, 102–107.
- (5) Ibrahim, L.; El-Senousy, W.; Hawas, U. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* **2007**, *43*, 659–662.
- (6) Liu, L.; Ma, H.; Yang, N.; Tang, Y.; Guo, J.; Tao, W.; Duan, J. a. *Thromb. Res.* **2010**, *126*, e365–e378.
- (7) Nagai, M.; Conney, A. H.; Zhu, B. T. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2004**, *32*, 497–504.
- (8) Herrero-Martínez, J. M.; Oumada, F. Z.; Rosés, M.; Bosch, E.; Ràfols, C. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2007**, *30*, 2493–2500.
- (9) Ghasemzadeh, A.; Jaafar, H. Z. E.; Rahmat, A. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 7907–7922.
- (10) Nielsen, S. E.; Freese, R.; Cornett, C.; Dragsted, L. O. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 1503–1509.
- (11) Yáñez, J. A.; Andrews, P. K.; Davies, N. M. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2007**, *848*, 159–181.
- (12) Stejskal, E. O.; Tanner, J. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1965**, *42*, 288–292.
- (13) Antalek, B. *Concepts Magn. Reson.* **2002**, *14*, 225–258.
- (14) Tormena, C. F.; Evans, R.; Haiber, S.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2010**, *48*, 550–553.
- (15) Evans, R.; Haiber, S.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81*, 4548–4550.
- (16) Rogerson, A. K.; Aguilar, J. A.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7063–7064.
- (17) Adams, R. W.; Aguilar, J. A.; Cassani, J.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. *Org. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 7062–7064.
- (18) Lindman, B.; Brun, B. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1973**, *42*, 388–399.

- (19) Markarian, S. A.; Harutyunyan, L. R.; Harutyunyan, R. S. *J. Solution Chem.* **2005**, *34*, 361–368.
- (20) Dai, S.; Tam, K. C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 20794–20800.
- (21) Kumar, S.; Parveen, N.; Kabir-ud-Din. *J. Surfactants Deterg.* **2008**, *11*, 335–341.
- (22) Singh, H.; Singh, S.; Tewari, K. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *52*, 436–438.
- (23) Denkova, P. S.; Lokeren, L. v.; Verbruggen, I.; Willem, R. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 10935–10941.
- (24) Chauhan, M. S.; Kumar, G.; Kumar, A.; Sharma, K.; Chauhan, S. *Colloids Surf, A* **2001**, *180*, 111–119.
- (25) Pelta, M. D.; Morris, G. A.; Stchedroff, M. J.; Hammond, S. J. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2002**, *40*, S147–S152.
- (26) Connell, M. A.; Bowyer, P. J.; Adam Bone, P.; Davis, A. L.; Swanson, A. G.; Nilsson, M.; Morris, G. A. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2009**, *198*, 121–131.
- (27) Morris, G. A.; Barjat, H.; Horne, T. J. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **1997**, *31*, 197–257.